

Why do we care?



Real Economic Value

Boreal Ecosystem Accounts - Forests	Economic Value
Forestry-related GDP	\$18.8 billion
Carbon Storage	\$180.1 billion
Pest Control by Birds	\$5.4 billion
Nature-related Activities	\$4.5 billion
Subsistence Value (Aboriginal)	\$575 million
Non-timber Forest Products	\$79 million
Water Quality	\$18.3 million
Conservation Value	\$11.7 million
Less Carbon Emission Costs (forest industry activity)	(\$150 million)
Total	\$209.3 billion

Source: Anielski, M. and S. Wilson. 2003 (updated 2009). *Counting Canada's Natural Capital*. Canadian Boreal Initiative and Pembina Institute.

Guidelines

Below the minimum threshold: < 30% Forest Cover

High Risk Approach: 30% Forest Cover

- may only support <1/2 potential species richness
- marginally healthy aquatic systems

Medium Risk Approach: 40% Forest Cover

- likely to support >1/2 potential species richness
- moderately healthy aquatic systems

Low Risk Approach:

50% Forest Cover

- likely to support most of the potential species richness
- healthy aquatic systems

Use with caution !

• other factors (patch size, shape, edge:interior, community types including maturity/structure); data gaps; guideline is not a cap

Source: Environment Canada. 2013. How much habitat is enough? Third Edition. Environment Canada, Toronto, Ontario



Forest Cover by Watershed





Challenge: Making Connections





Challenge: Making Connections



Source: Somers, G. and M. Savard. 2008. Economic implications of increasing nitrate in groundwater due to climate change, Prince Edward Island, Canada.

Opportunity: Value Natural Capital

Develop Natural Capital Accounts for PEI. Example:

UN System of Environmental-Economic Accounting (SEEA) has definitions and internationally-accepted accounting rules;
 Currently used in EU, Australia, South Africa, China, Philippines

Revise programs to reward good land management and recover depletion costs. Examples:

Retire farmland adjacent to legislated 15m buffer: \$185/ha/year

Leave forest adjacent to the 15m buffer: \$o/ha/yr

Wetland Compensation Fund Model

Opportunity: Value-added Industry

Natural Capital includes **goods**, not just services:

- Forest products are important
- > connecting landowners to the value of their forests is important

PEI can't win the high-volume / low-value commodity game. We can:
 ➢ support the value-added sector across Departments (development of local businesses and export markets);

- > manage forests for high-quality wood; and
- > connect landowners and industry to the potential for high-value wood

Challenge: Tax system

Examples:

- Clearing, leveling and draining land still tax-deductable;
- Provincial property tax (\$1/\$100 assessment for residents) –
 - <u>farm</u> assessment: \$150/acre Class
 2 clear land
 - <u>farm</u> assessment: \$75/acre Class 2 woodland
 - <u>non-farm</u> assessment: \$300/acre Class 2 woodland

100 acres HW CC on Class 2 land under farm assessment = \$150 tax/yr
100 acres managed woodland = \$300 tax/yr

• • Do you have land that's practically worthless because of scrub growth, swamp, or washes? This farmer had. A brush-filled ditch made 9 acres of land absolutely worthless. 10' deep, 20' wide, and 700' long, it covered 4 acres and cut off another 5 acres that couldn't be reached for farming. Today, this land is Grade A farmland. A "Caterpillar" Diesel Tractor with Bulldozer filled in the ditch. Cost? About \$50 an acre. Do you have gullies ... trees...brush...swamps...that cut your crop acreages and production? Clean 'em up with a 'Cat" Diesel Tractor! Dig out hedgerows... straighten creeks... level land ... 'doze out trees.

YOUR "CATERPILLAR" DEALER A. PICKARD MACHINERY LTD. MALPEQUE ROAD

Opportunity: Tax Reform

Examples:

- Link taxation to public benefit
- Deferred property tax
- Capital gains exemption on intergenerational transfers

Challenge: Silos

Departmental programs can be at odds with each other, or with groups' priorities. Examples:

Removal of hedgerow or forest to accommodate soil conservation structures

Property-specific rather than landscape-scale forest management

Opportunity: Collaboration

- Among Government Departments (one goal of the Model Watershed);
- Between Watershed Groups and Government (room for improvement); and
- Within watersheds (e.g. buffer zone management, landscape-scale management (<u>ecological and economic</u>))

Opportunity: Cross-Dept Programs

Banks

- Provincial Government
- Insurance, Trust & Loan Companies Advance Payment Programs
- Federal Government
- Credit Unions
- Private Individuals & Companies

Example:

- •
- Debt relief proportional

Challenge: Equality

All watersheds are not equal.

Opportunity: Targeted Incentives

- Design programs to meet watershed goals
- New concepts for Government:
 - > not all programs offered in all areas
 - differential incentive rates

Challenge: Land Availability

Land use in some areas driven by agricultural contracts:

- Producers may not have the flexibility to decrease acreage
- biggest challenge, with no easy solution;

> will require industry flexibility and diversification – value-added vs. commodity production

Summary: Opportunities

- 1. Goods and services from forests have real economic value: <u>calculate it</u>.
- 2. Use this value to reward good land management and recover depletion costs.
- 3. Focus on high-value forest management & industry development
- 4. Adjust the tax system to support what we want more of and discourage what we want less of.
- 5. Increase collaboration among Government Departments, between Watershed Groups and Government, and within watersheds.
- 6. Identify and break barriers: new models such as debt reduction, targeted incentives and flexible industry partnerships.